Remarks

1. Summary of the Office Action

In the Office Action mailed August 20, 2009, the Examiner issued a Restriction Requirement

requiring election of one of the following inventions:

I. Claims 9-10 and 17-18, drawn to delaying the establishment of a new link

when the amount of free capacity in the network is insufficient to support

the new link, classified in class 370, subclass 230.

II. Claims 12-16 and 19-23, drawn to suspending a new data link when the

amount of free capacity in the network is insufficient to support the new

data link, classified in class 370, subclass 235.

2. Response to the Restriction Requirement

Applicants elect, with traverse, the invention set forth in claims 9-10 and 17-18, drawn to

delaying the establishment of a new link when the amount of free capacity in the network is

insufficient to support the new link.

3. Preliminary Amendment

Claims 12-16 and 19-23 have been amended as set forth in the attached Amendment to the

Claims, to read on the elected invention. In light of the amendments to claims 12-16 and 19-23,

Applicants submit that all claims now read on the elected invention, and respectfully request that all

pending claims now be examined on their merits.

4. Conclusion

Applicants submit that the pending claims 9-23 are in condition for allowance and respectfully

7

requests favorable reconsideration and allowance of all of the pending claims.

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 913-0001 Should the Examiner wish to discuss this case with the undersigned, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (312) 913-2125.

Respectfully submitted,

McDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

Date: October 14, 2009 By: /Daniel R. Bestor/

Daniel R. Bestor

Registration No. 58,439